RESEARCH

Ochemical and Biological **Technologies in Agriculture**

Open Access

Anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory activities of lactic acid bacteria-bioconversioned indica rice (Oryza sativa L.) extract

Hyuk-Ju Kwon, Hyunwoo Ahn, Bong Sun Kim, Seok-Seong Kang and Kwang-Geun Lee^{*}

Abstract

Background: In this study, indica rice (Oryza sativa L.) was bioconversioned using Lactobacillus plantarum ATCC14917 and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG KCTC5033.

Results: Compared to the control treatment (RE), rice extract fermented with *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC14917 (LP-RE) and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG KCTC5033 (LR-RE) inhibited the growth of pathogenic bacteria significantly except for E. coli O157:H7 (p < 0.05). The LR-RE treatment had the strongest inhibitory effect on Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation (10.81 ± 1.98%). Additionally, real-time PCR analyses confirmed that LP-RE inhibited S. aureusinduced IL-8 expression in Caco-2 cells by 60%. HPLC-orbitrap analysis indicated that this treatment increased the levels of key metabolites with therapeutic properties such as acetophenone, 9-oxo-10(E), 12(E)-octadecadienoic acid, nicotinic acid, and indol-3-lactic acid.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the secondary metabolites were linked to antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects, suggesting that bioconversion is a promising means to produce dietary supplements with therapeutic properties.

Keywords: Indica rice, Bioconversion, Lactic acid bacteria, Anti-bacterial, Anti-inflammatory, Secondary metabolite

*Correspondence: kwglee@dongguk.edu

Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Dongguk University-Seoul, 32, Dongguk-ro, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do 400-820, Republic of Korea



© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Introduction

Nutrient intake not only prevents or treats diseases, but also improves overall health [1]. However, contrary to consumer expectations, obtaining enough nutrients through dietary intake alone is often not possible in our busy modern society. Therefore, there is a rise in the consumer demand for novel nutrient-dense foods and supplements, which has led to the recent expansion of the health functional food industry [2]. Health functional food is defined as food manufactured and processed using ingredients that benefit the human body [3], and increasingly more people are consuming these products to prevent diseases rather than treating them with medicine.

Bioconversion is also often referred to as bioprocessing, biotransformation, biosynthesis, and biocatalysis, and these terms are generally used to describe the technology used for manufacturing products using microorganisms [4]. The bioconversion process differs from existing fermentation processes in that the fermentation process starts from relatively simple raw materials, whereas the bioconversion process produces products from materials by taking advantage of the substrate selectivity of microorganisms or enzymes. In this regard, the bioconversion process is known to be an energy-saving and high-tech sector that has advanced fermentation technology. Particularly, this process can be used in various ways to improve the usefulness and effectiveness of medicines and cosmetics [5].

Biotechnology techniques that employ several lactic acid bacteria are promising alternatives for the establishment of cost-effective, renewable, and virtually inexhaustible sources of high-value aromatic and bioactive compounds. Bioactive compounds possess a variety of therapeutic properties such as antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activities, as well as anti-wrinkle and anti-whitening properties. These properties can potentially improve various aspects of the food, pharmaceutical, chemical, and agrochemical industries. As mentioned earlier, the bioconversion method has a wide variety of applications [6].

Indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), also called Annammi in Korea, is a representative rice variety that accounts for 90% of the world's rice trade and is mainly grown in Southeast Asia. The name "indica" refers to the fact that this rice variety is widely consumed in India. Indica rice is an important cereal and is known to be highly adaptable to environmental stress [7]. Although indica rice contains several bioactive substances, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the health-promoting properties of bioconversioned indica rice. A previous study reported the bioconversion of indica rice via inoculation with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [8]. Furthermore, although lactic acid bacteria-bioconversioned mulberries (*Morus alba* L.) have been reported to exert antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activities [9], very few studies have focused on characterising lactic acid bacteria-bioconversioned indica rice. In this study, the antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-wrinkle, and anti-whitening effects of indica rice bioconversioned using *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *L. rhamnosus* GG were carried out.

Materials and methods

Bacterial culture conditions

Lactobacillus plantarum ATCC 14917, Escherichia coli O157:H7 ATCC 35150, Yersinia enterocolitica ATCC 23715, and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG KCTC 5033 and Salmonella Typhimurium KCTC 1925 were obtained from the Korean Collection for Type Culture (Jeongup, Korea). L. plantarum ATCC 14917 and L. rhamnosus GG KCTC 5033 were cultured in de Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) medium (Neogen, Lansing, MI, USA). E. coli O157:H7 ATCC 35150 and S. Typhimurium KCTC 1925 were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Y. enterocolitica ATCC 23715 and S. aureus ATCC 29213 were cultured in Tryptone Soy (TS) medium (BD Biosciences) and Brain Heart Infusion [10] (BD Biosciences) medium, respectively. All strains were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

Rice extract bioconversion process by *L. plantarum* and *L. rhamnosus* GG

Indica rice (Oryza sativa L.) was purchased at a local market (Seoul, Korea) and ground using a food grinder (SMKANB-4000, Poongnyun Co., Ltd., Korea). The rice was then immersed in distilled water at a ratio of 1:2.5 and boiled at 55 °C for 12 h in a water bath. Afterwards, the solid content was filtered through a sterilised cotton cloth and the pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 6.5-6.8. The rice extracts (RE) were then autoclaved prior to inoculation. Next, the extracts were inoculated with the bacterial strains (L. plantarum and L. rhamnosus GG; 1%, w/w) and incubated at 37 °C for 72 h. After incubation, the supernatants were collected by centrifugation at $10,170 \times g$ for 10 min and their pH was adjusted to 6.5-6.8. The L. plantarum (LP-RE) and L. rhamnosus GG (LR-RE) bioconversioned RE was recovered by filtering the fermented samples through a syringe filter (0.25 µm, Advantec Dismic, ToyoRochi, Tokyo, Japan). Non-bioconversioned RE was obtained by incubating the RE without L. plantarum and L. rhamnosus GG inoculation under the same conditions.

Anti-bacterial effects

Bactericidal activity of bioconversioned RE

Yersinia enterocolitica, S. Typhimurium, *E. coli* O157:H7, or *S. aureus* were incubated with or without LP-RE or LR-RE at 50% in each appropriate broth media at 37 °C for 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h. These pathogenic bacteria were also incubated with RE under the same conditions. At the indicated incubation times, the growth of the bacteria was determined by measuring the optical density of the samples at 595 nm using a microtiter plate reader (Allsheng, Hangzhou, China).

Effect of bioconversioned RE on pathogenic bacteria biofilm formation

Pathogenic bacteria (*Y. enterocolitica, S.* Typhimurium, *E. coli* O157:H7, and *S. aureus*) were cultured at 37 °C for 24 h and diluted with fresh broth to 1×10^7 CFU/mL. Next, 100 µL of the bacterial suspension was incubated in 96-well culture plates with or without LP-RE or LR-RE (0, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, and 50%, v/v) at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the culture supernatants were removed and the plates were gently washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The biofilms formed by pathogenic bacteria were stained with crystal violet (0.1%) at room temperature for 30 min and the excess stain was removed by washing with PBS. The adherent stain was dissolved by adding 95% ethanol and 0.1% acetic acid, after which the optical density of the samples was measured at 595 nm.

Cell viability of Caco-2 cells in the presence of bioconversioned RE

The cytotoxicity of the bioconversioned RE was evaluated via the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Caco-2 cells, a human intestinal epithelial cell line, were purchased from the ATCC and cultured in complete Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) with 10% foetal bovine serum (Gibco, Burlington, ON, Canada), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO_2 and 95% air atmosphere. Caco-2 cells (5 × 10⁵ cells/ mL) were plated in 96-well culture plates and grown until fully confluent. The cells were then incubated in LP-RE, LR-RE, or RE (10%, v/v) at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, 10 μ L of MTT (5 mg/mL) was added to 90 μ L of cell suspensions and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. The culture supernatants were removed and 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well. The plates were then shaken to dissolve the reduced intracellular formazan. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm.



Inhibitory effect of bioconversioned RE on *S. aureus*-induced IL-8 in Caco-2 cells

Caco-2 cells (5×10^5 cells/mL) were plated in 6-well culture plates and grown until fully confluent as described above. The cells were co-incubated with S. aureus $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/mL})$ in LP-RE, LR-RE, or RE (0.1%, v/v) for 3 h. Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) and complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesised using total RNA (2 µg), reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and random hexamers. The resulting cDNA was quantified via real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) with SYBR Green Realtime PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) using a Bio-Rad CFX thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of the specific IL-8 and β -actin primers were as follows: IL-8 (forward: 5'- GTG CAG TTT TGC CAA GGA GT-3', reverse: 5'-CTC TGC ACC CAG TTT TCC TT-3') and β -actin (forward: 5'-TGT TCG AGA CCT TCA ACA CG-3', reverse: 5'-ATC CCC AGA GTC CAT GAC AA-3'). The relative quantification of IL-8 mRNA expression was normalised to that of β -actin via the 2⁻ $\Delta \Delta \overline{C}t$ method.

Profiling of secondary metabolites of bioconversioned RE using LC-Orbitrap MS

Next, 50 µL of test sample were extracted with 375 µL of MeOH, 375 µL of IPA, and 125 µL of distilled water, after which the samples were sonicated for 10 min. After sonication, the samples were centrifuged at $16,363 \times g$ (Eppendorf centrifuge 5424R, Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) for 5 min at 4 °C. Evaporation was then conducted using 1000 µL of supernatant. The test sample was reconstituted with 100 μL of 80% MeOH prior to analysis. The metabolites were separated using a Vanquish system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) equipped with a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm \times 150 mm, 1.7 µm). The mobile phase consisted of water with 0.1% formic acid (solvent A, v/v) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (solvent B, v/v) at a 300 μ L/min flow rate. The gradient elution program was as follows: 0-2.0 min, 5% B; 2.0-25.0 min, 5-95% B; 25.0-27.5 min, 95% B; 27.5-27.6 min, 95-5% B; 27.6–30.0 min, 5% B. All samples were analysed using a Q-Exactive Focus instrument (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionisation [11] interface (HESI-II) in positive mode, and the system was controlled using the Xcalibur 4.0 and Q-Exactive

Sample	Biofilm formation (%) ^a							
	Control ^b	3.125%	6.25%	12.50%	25%	50%		
RE ¹	100±3.39 ^{aC}	$90.35 \pm 9.79^{\text{efgC}}$	72.82 ± 3.21^{dB}	68.35 ± 4.02^{efB}	60.97 ± 1.05^{cB}	38.25 ± 5.29^{bcA}		
LP-RE	100 ± 4.97^{aD}	$97.41 \pm 5.31^{\text{fghD}}$	98.67 ± 7.84^{efD}	61.12±8.59 ^{deC}	39.93 ± 4.12^{bB}	24.55 ± 4.57^{bA}		
LR-RE	100 ± 5.40^{aD}	61.93±5.31 ^{bcC}	57.71 ± 1.18 ^{bcC}	$51.92 \pm 7.34^{\text{cdBC}}$	44.47 ± 6.44^{bB}	24.98 ± 1.43^{bA}		
RE ¹	100 ± 11.92^{aB}	90.67 ± 4.64^{efgB}	$97.37 \pm 3.48^{\rm efB}$	$65.15\pm5.08^{\text{defA}}$	64.20 ± 5.08^{cA}	59.28 ± 5.14^{dA}		
LP-RE	100 ± 3.56^{aC}	$82.57 \pm 11.48^{\text{defB}}$	72.86 ± 1.53^{dB}	26.85 ± 6.36^{aA}	35.54 ± 2.62^{bA}	32.61 ± 5.58^{bcA}		
LR-RE	100 ± 3.42^{aD}	68.21 ± 4.80^{cdB}	$61.89 \pm 6.46^{\text{cB}}$	$74.11 \pm 9.40^{\text{efB}}$	37.01 ± 5.62^{bA}	35.49±11.30 ^{bcA}		
RE ¹	100 ± 6.67^{aB}	$77.77 \pm 3.61^{\text{deA}}$	92.64 ± 4.87^{eB}	77.85 ± 3.92^{fA}	121.98±5.59 ^{eC}	114.34 ± 7.00^{fC}		
LP-RE	100 ± 5.15^{aAB}	110.06 ± 3.12^{hB}	109.36 ± 2.88^{gAB}	110.86 ± 4.13^{gBA}	98.74 ± 7.87^{dA}	101.26 ± 2.99^{eAB}		
LR-RE	100 ± 3.73^{a}	$101.60 \pm 9.73^{\text{ghA}}$	$105.59 \pm 3.84^{\text{fgAB}}$	$122.13 \pm 10.57^{\rm gBC}$	123.24±12.75 ^{eBC}	137.98±8.54 ^{gC}		
RE ¹	100 ± 4.36^{aD}	53.70 ± 6.82^{bB}	52.39 ± 2.01^{bB}	43.25 ± 4.56^{bcAB}	$42.60 \pm 5.31^{\text{bAB}}$	36.35 ± 6.76^{bcA}		
LP-RE	100 ± 3.55^{aE}	$97.43 \pm 3.22^{\text{fghE}}$	40.71 ± 4.36^{aD}	29.74 ± 2.59^{abC}	22.53 ± 1.43^{aB}	10.81 ± 1.98^{aA}		
LR-RE	100 ± 6.37^{aC}	32.02 ± 5.37^{aA}	32.26 ± 2.86^{aA}	42.13 ± 4.84^{bcAB}	$40.12 \pm 3.21^{\text{bAB}}$	$44.57 \pm 3.20^{\text{cB}}$		
	Sample RE ¹ LP-RE LR-RE RE ¹ LP-RE LR-RE RE ¹ LP-RE LR-RE RE ¹ LP-RE LR-RE LR-RE	$\begin{array}{r c} \textbf{Sample} \\ \hline \textbf{Biofilm format} \\ \hline \textbf{Control^b} \\ \hline \textbf{RE}^1 & 100 \pm 3.39^{aC} \\ \textbf{LP-RE} & 100 \pm 4.97^{aD} \\ \textbf{LR-RE} & 100 \pm 5.40^{aD} \\ \textbf{RE}^1 & 100 \pm 11.92^{aB} \\ \textbf{LP-RE} & 100 \pm 3.56^{aC} \\ \textbf{LR-RE} & 100 \pm 3.42^{aD} \\ \textbf{RE}^1 & 100 \pm 6.67^{aB} \\ \textbf{LP-RE} & 100 \pm 5.15^{aAB} \\ \textbf{LP-RE} & 100 \pm 3.73^{a} \\ \textbf{RE}^1 & 100 \pm 4.36^{aD} \\ \textbf{LR-RE} & 100 \pm 3.55^{aE} \\ \textbf{LR-RE} & 100 \pm 3.73^{c} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Sample & Biofilm formation (%)^a & 6.25\% & 12.50\% \\ \hline Control^b & 3.125\% & 6.25\% & 12.50\% \\ \hline RE^1 & 100 \pm 3.39^{aC} & 90.35 \pm 9.79^{efgC} & 72.82 \pm 3.21^{dB} & 68.35 \pm 4.02^{efB} \\ LP-RE & 100 \pm 4.97^{aD} & 97.41 \pm 5.31^{fghD} & 98.67 \pm 7.84^{efD} & 61.12 \pm 8.59^{deC} \\ LR-RE & 100 \pm 5.40^{aD} & 61.93 \pm 5.31^{bcC} & 57.71 \pm 1.18^{bcC} & 51.92 \pm 7.34^{cdBC} \\ RE^1 & 100 \pm 11.92^{aB} & 90.67 \pm 4.64^{efgB} & 97.37 \pm 3.48^{efB} & 65.15 \pm 5.08^{defA} \\ LP-RE & 100 \pm 3.56^{aC} & 82.57 \pm 11.48^{defB} & 72.86 \pm 1.53^{dB} & 26.85 \pm 6.36^{aA} \\ LR-RE & 100 \pm 3.42^{aD} & 68.21 \pm 4.80^{cdB} & 61.89 \pm 6.46^{cB} & 74.11 \pm 9.40^{efB} \\ RE^1 & 100 \pm 6.67^{aB} & 77.77 \pm 3.61^{deA} & 92.64 \pm 4.87^{eB} & 77.85 \pm 3.92^{fA} \\ LP-RE & 100 \pm 5.15^{aAB} & 110.06 \pm 3.12^{bB} & 109.36 \pm 2.88^{9AB} & 110.86 \pm 4.13^{9BA} \\ LR-RE & 100 \pm 3.73^a & 101.60 \pm 9.73^{ghA} & 105.59 \pm 3.84^{fgAB} & 122.13 \pm 10.57^{gBC} \\ RE^1 & 100 \pm 4.36^{aD} & 53.70 \pm 6.82^{bB} & 52.39 \pm 2.01^{bB} & 43.25 \pm 4.56^{bcAB} \\ LP-RE & 100 \pm 3.55^{aE} & 97.43 \pm 3.22^{fghE} & 40.71 \pm 4.36^{aD} & 29.74 \pm 2.59^{abC} \\ LR-RE & 100 \pm 6.37^{aC} & 32.02 \pm 5.37^{aA} & 32.26 \pm 2.86^{aA} & 42.13 \pm 4.84^{bcAB} \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		

Table 1 Effect of lactic acid bacteria-bioconversioned RE on the biofilm formation of bacteria (S. Typhimurium, Y. enterocolitica. E. coli O157:H7, S. aureus) at different concentrations (3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50% v/v)

Uppercase letters (series "A-D) indicate significant (Duncan's range test, p < 0.05) differences in the same row, and lowercase letters (series "a-h") indicate significant (Duncan's range test, p < 0.05) differences in the same column

 $^{\rm a}$ Results are expressed as average percentage \pm standard deviation of biofilm (%)

^b Control is the biofilm formation of bacteria without sample and assigned to 100%



Tune software. The parameters for the full scan/dd-MS² mode were as follows: HESI-II voltages of 3500 V for the positive; S-lens radio frequency level of 50 V; heater temperature of 320 °C; and heated capillary temperature of 300 °C. Full-scan spectra were obtained over a mass range of 100–1500 Da. The MS and dd-MS² resolutions were set to 70,000 FWHM and 17,500 FWHM at m/z=200, respectively. The automatic gain control (AGC) was set to 10⁶ charges with a maximum injection time of 100 ms.

The Compound Discoverer software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was used for compound identification



based on the following parameters: (1) alignment parameter settings: mass tolerance, 5 ppm; maximum shift, 1 min. (2) Identification parameter settings: MS1 tolerance, 5 ppm; MS2 tolerance, 10 ppm; assignment threshold, 70%.

Statistical analysis

All experimental results were reported as the mean \pm standard deviation of three independent replicate

Table 2 Univariate analysis of secondary metabolite profiles of not-bioconversioned RE², *Lb. plantarum*-bioconversioned RE³, *Lb. rhamnosus* GG-bioconversioned RE (GG)

Compounds	Molecular formula	RT (min)	Sample peak area		
			RE ¹	LP-RE	LR-RE
L-Tyrosine	C ₉ H ₁₁ NO ₃	1.884	5.3 × 10. ⁸	5.0 × 10. ⁶	4.5 × 10.6
L-Norleucine	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	2.131	2.6 × 10. ⁹	4.3×10.7	2.2 × 10. ⁹
Isoleucine	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	1.964	4.2 × 10. ⁸	7.8 × 10. ⁶	$1.5 \times 10.^{8}$
Indole-3-acrylic acid	$C_{11}H_9NO_2$	6.36	3.3 × 10. ⁸	4.3 × 10. ⁶	2.0 × 10. ⁸
2'-O-Methyladenosine	C ₁₁ H ₁₅ N ₅ O ₄	2.253	3.4 × 10. ⁸	2.6 × 10. ⁸	$1.4 \times 10.^{6}$
α -Aspartylphenylalanine	C ₁₃ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₅	6.601	1.8×10.7	2.1 × 10.6	1.1 × 10.6
Thymine	C ₅ H ₆ N ₂ O ₂	2.043	6.9 × 10. ⁷	2.1 × 10. ⁷	$3.4 \times 10.^{7}$
L-Tryptophan	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂	6.362	1.9 × 10. ⁸	2.1 × 10. ⁶	1.1 × 10. ⁸
L-Phenylalanine	C ₉ H ₁₁ NO ₂	3.348	1.7 × 10. ⁹	$2.5 \times 10.^{7}$	$1.4 \times 10.^{7}$
Kanosamine	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₅	1.429	1.7 × 10. ⁸	1.6 × 10. ⁶	$1.1 \times 10.^{7}$
Hypoxanthine	C ₅ H ₄ N ₄ O	1.733	5.3 × 10. ⁸	4.9×10.7	3.8 × 10. ⁷
Glycyl-L-leucine	C ₈ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₃	2.607	1.2 × 10. ⁸	5.2 × 10. ⁵	5.1 × 10. ⁵
Glycerophospho-N-palmitoyl ethanolamine	C ₂₁ H ₄₄ NO ₇ P	21.194	5.9 × 10. ⁷	1.2 × 10. ⁶	2.3 × 10. ⁶
DL-Arginine	C ₆ H ₁₄ N ₄ O ₂	1.175	5.7 × 10. ⁷	$1.9 \times 10.^{7}$	7.2 × 10. ⁵
D-(+)-Pyroglutamic acid	C ₅ H ₇ NO ₃	1.79	1.1 × 10. ⁸	2.3 × 10. ⁸	$2.4 \times 10.^{8}$
Cytosine	C ₄ H ₅ N ₃ O	1.263	$2.0 \times 10.^{7}$	1.1 × 10. ⁸	6.1×10.5
Agmatine	$C_5H_{14}N_4$	1.162	$4.6 \times 10.^{7}$	4.1×10.6	3.5 × 10. ⁶
Adenosine	C ₁₀ H ₁₃ N ₅ O ₄	1.821	8.0 × 10. ⁸	$3.5 \times 10.^{7}$	1.3 × 10. ⁷
2-Hydroxycinnamic acid	C ₉ H ₈ O ₃	1.877	2.2 × 10. ⁸	1.9 × 10. ⁶	1.3 × 10. ⁶
2'-Deoxyadenosine	C ₁₀ H ₁₃ N ₅ O ₃	1.868	$1.6 \times 10.^{7}$	1.8×10.6	1.9 × 10. ⁷
1-Methylguanine	C ₆ H ₇ N ₅ O	1.741	3.9 × 10. ⁷	$7.3 \times 10.^{7}$	5.1 × 10. ⁷
Guanine	C ₅ H ₅ N ₅ O	1.729	1.9 × 10. ⁸	$4.4 \times 10.^{8}$	$6.4 \times 10.^{8}$
Nicotinic acid	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₂	1.731	1.3 × 10. ⁸	2.6 × 10. ⁸	3.5 × 10. ⁸
(±)12(13)-DiHOME	C ₁₈ H ₃₄ O ₄	18.275	4.2×10.6	$1.3 \times 10.^{7}$	7.3 × 10. ⁶
N6-Methyladenine	$C_6H_7N_5$	1.784	$2.6 \times 10.^{7}$	$1.0 \times 10.^{8}$	$1.1 \times 10.^{8}$
Indole-3-lactic acid	C ₁₁ H ₁₁ NO ₃	9.973	5.3×10.6	$1.0 \times 10.^{8}$	7.8 × 10. ⁷
Acetophenone	C ₈ H ₈ O	2.077	5.5×10.6	$6.7 \times 10.^{7}$	5.7 × 10. ⁷
9-Oxo-10(E),12(E)-octadecadienoic acid	C ₁₈ H ₃₀ O ₃	21.92	1.5×10.6	4.9×10.6	$1.0 \times 10.^{7}$
4-Guanidinobutyric acid	C ₅ H ₁₁ N ₃ O ₂	1.315	$9.5 \times 10.^{7}$	$6.5 \times 10.^{7}$	$7.4 \times 10.^{7}$
Pyridoxine	C ₈ H ₁₁ NO ₃	1.797	$2.0 \times 10.^{7}$	$2.8 \times 10.^{7}$	2.9 × 10. ⁷
Hexadecanamide	C ₁₆ H ₃₃ NO	25.682	$1.0 \times 10.^{8}$	$9.0 \times 10.^{7}$	$1.2 \times 10.^{8}$
Choline	C ₅ H ₁₃ NO	1.241	1.9 × 10. ⁹	1.1 × 10. ⁹	1.5 × 10. ⁹
9-Oxo-ODE	C ₁₈ H ₃₀ O ₃	13.907	$6.7 \times 10.^{7}$	1.1 × 10. ⁸	8.2 × 10. ⁷
Prostaglandin A2	C ₂₀ H ₃₀ O ₄	13.983	3.0×10.6	3.0×10.6	3.0×10.6
Pipecolic acid	C ₆ H ₁₁ NO ₂	1.323	$1.3 \times 10.^{7}$	5.8 × 10. ⁶	$1.7 \times 10.^{7}$
Ornithine	$C_5H_{12}N_2O_2$	1.149	9.1 × 10. ⁶	2.5×10.6	1.1 × 10. ⁷
Nootkatone	C ₁₅ H ₂₂ O	21.729	2.1×10.7	2.1×10.7	$2.5 \times 10.^{7}$
N6,N6,N6-Trimethyl-L-lysine	$C_9H_{20}N_2O_2$	1.172	$1.3 \times 10.^{7}$	1.2×10.7	1.5 × 10. ⁷
N,N-Dimethylsphingosine	C ₂₀ H ₄₁ NO ₂	21.278	2.8×10.6	2.8×10.6	$4.0 \times 10.^{6}$
Mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP)	C ₁₆ H ₂₂ O ₄	22.144	$3.3 \times 10.^{7}$	4.6×10.7	4.1 × 10. ⁷
L-Histidine	C ₆ H ₉ N ₃ O ₂	1.156	$1.7 \times 10.^{7}$	1.2×10.6	$1.7 \times 10.^{7}$
L-Glutathione (reduced)	C ₁₀ H ₁₇ N ₃ O ₆ S	1.727	4.0×10.5	2.5×10.5	5.0×10.5
Indole-3-acetic acid	$C_{10}H_9NO_2$	11.13	7.9 × 10. ⁶	$1.0 \times 10.^{7}$	1.2×10.7
Betaine	C ₅ H ₁₁ NO ₂	1.293	$1.5 \times 10.^{8}$	$9.3 \times 10.^{7}$	2.5 × 10. ⁸
2-Amino-1,3,4-octadecanetriol	C ₁₈ H ₃₉ NO ₃	17.473	4.6 × 10. ⁶	4.4 × 10. ⁶	4.6 × 10.6
15-epi Prostaglandin A1	$C_{20}H_{32}O_4$	12.644	$1.8 \times 10.^{7}$	$1.8 \times 10.^{7}$	$1.8 \times 10.^{7}$

experiments. Statistical differences between the treatment groups and the appropriate controls were determined via the Student's t-test using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) or one-way analysis of variance [12] using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results and discussion

Anti-bacterial effects

Anti-bacterial activity of bioconversioned RE

Our study determined the inhibitory effects of LP-RE and LR-RE on the growth of Y. enterocolitica, S. Typhimurium, E. coli O157:H7, and S. aureus. As illustrated in Fig. 1A, the growth of *Y. enterocolitica* was effectively suppressed when the bacteria were incubated with both LP-RE and LR-RE. The bioconversioned RE inhibited the growth of Y. enterocolitica more effectively than RE. LP-RE and LR-RE did not significantly inhibit the growth of *Y. enterocolitica* from 0 to 24 h of incubation; however, significant growth suppression effects were observed after 48 h of incubation. Furthermore, LP-RE and LR-RE suppressed the growth of S. Typhimurium at 24 and 48 h, whereas the RE treatment increased S. Typhimurium growth (Fig. 1B). In contrast, neither LP-RE nor LR-RE inhibited the growth of E. coli O157:H7 (Fig. 1C). RE significantly inhibited S. aureus growth from 6 to 24 h but did not inhibit bacterial growth at 48 h. Furthermore, only LR-RE (not RE nor LP-RE) suppressed S. aureus growth at 48 h (Fig. 1D). Several studies have shown that antimicrobial activity can be enhanced via biotransformation. Enhancement of antimicrobial activity via biotransformation has been constantly reported such as biotransformed Chinese herbal medicine residue with Aspergillus oryzae showed increased antibacterial activity to pathogenic bacteria [13], and bioconversion of fruit juice using LAB has an anti-listerial effect [14]. Therefore, the increase in antimicrobial activity by bioconversion of rice extract supports that the research result increases the antimicrobial activity of food by fermentation.

Bioconversioned RE inhibits bacterial biofilm formation

To examine whether bioconversioned RE inhibits pathogenic bacteria biofilm formation, *Y. enterocolitica*, *S.* Typhimurium, *E. coli* O157:H7, and *S. aureus* were incubated with or without bioconversioned RE (0%, 3.125%, 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, and 50%) for 24 h. As shown in Table 1, both the bioconversioned and control RE significantly inhibited *Y. enterocolitica* biofilm formation in a dose-dependent manner. At the highest concentration (50%), RE substantially inhibited *S.* Typhimurium biofilm formation (59.28 \pm 5.14%). However, both LP-RE and LR-RE decreased biofilm formation more effectively $(32.61 \pm 5.58\%$ and $35.49 \pm 11.30\%$, respectively). Similar to the inhibition pattern of bacterial growth, E. coli O157:H7 biofilm formation was not inhibited in the presence of either LP-RE or LR-RE. S. aureus biofilm formation appeared to be effectively inhibited by LP-RE and LR-RE, as well as RE. However, except for the 3.125% LP-RE treatment, both LP-RE and LR-RE inhibited S. aureus biofilm formation more significantly. Particularly, 50% of LP-PE strongly suppressed S. aureus biofilm formation $(10.81 \pm 1.98\%$ of biofilm formation). These results suggest that the bioconversioned RE possessed potent anti-biofilm activities against Y. enterocolitica, S. Typhimurium, and S. aureus, but not against E. coli O157:H7. Inhibition effect of biofilm formation is caused by inhibiting the growth of pathogen or inhibition of biofilm formation [15]. In our growth inhibition result, except for *E. coli*, growth inhibition was the effect. Therefore, the anti-biofilm activity of bioconversion rice extract could be deemed to suppress of growth of the pathogen.

Anti-inflammatory effects

Effect of bioconversioned RE on the viability of Caco-2 cells

Cytotoxicity must be thoroughly tested to determine the suitability, applicability, and safety of food extracts. Therefore, the MTT assay was conducted to confirm the viability of Caco-2 cells in the presence or absence of bioconversioned RE (10%, v/v).

Figure 2 illustrates the cell viability results of the bioconversioned RE after 24 h of incubation. Notably, cell viability was not affected by RE, LP-RE, and LR-RE treatment regardless of concentration, suggesting that bioconversioned RE is not cytotoxic toward Caco-2 cells.

Bioconversioned RE inhibits S. aureus-induced IL-8 expression

Consistent with our antibacterial and anti-biofilm results, bioconversion RE was affected on S aureus. Therefore we demonstrated to investigate whether bioconversioned RE could inhibit S. aureus-induced IL-8 expression, Caco-2 cells were treated with 0.1% LP-RE, LR-RE, or RE in the presence or absence of S. aureus $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/mL})$ for 3 h. As illustrated in Fig. 3, LP-RE, LR-RE, and RE did not increase IL-8 mRNA expression, whereas S. aureus significantly increased IL-8 mRNA expression in Caco-2 cells. When the cells were co-incubated with bioconversioned RE and S. aureus, IL-8 mRNA expression was significantly decreased in the presence of LP-RE and LR-RE. Although RE moderately inhibited S. aureus-induced IL-8 mRNA expression, both LP-RE and LR-RE more effectively inhibited S. aureus-induced IL-8 mRNA expression, suggesting that the bioconversioned RE

has anti-inflammatory effects in human intestinal epithelial cells. In previous studies, the anti-inflammatory effect was caused by the increment of metabolites and enhanced bioaccessibility or bioavailability of phenolic compounds [16–18].

Analysis of untargeted secondary metabolites of bioconversioned RE by LC–MS/MS

Table 2 summarises the results of secondary metabolite analysis in RE using HPLC-MS/MS (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Compared with the control, the concentration of 13 compounds increased significantly after bioconversion. Among these compounds, four (acetophenone, 9-oxo-10(E), 12(E)-octadecadienoic acid, nicotinic acid, and indol-3-lactic acid) were found to enhance the therapeutic effects of the extract. Particularly, acetophenone and 9-oxo-10(E), 12(E)-octadecadienoic acid have been previously reported to increase anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory activity [10, 11, 19]. Furthermore, nicotinic acid and L-indole-3-lactic acid exhibit anti-inflammatory effects and radical scavenging activity, respectively [20, 21]. After the bioconversion process, the levels of many types of amino acids decreased and lactic acid bacteria likely used these free amino acids to synthesise the abovementioned compounds.

Conclusions

Collectively, our findings suggest that lactic acid bacteriabioconversioned RE can suppress S. Typhimurium, Y. enterocolitica, and S. aureus growth and biofilm formation more effectively than RE; however, E. coli O157:H7 remained largely unaffected. Additionally, this study demonstrated that LP-RE inhibited interleukin-8 secretion by S. aureus in the human intestinal epithelial cell line Caco-2. Moreover, our study demonstrated that LC/MS-MS can be used to monitor the production of a variety of secondary metabolites produced during bioconversion. More importantly, these secondary metabolites were linked to antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects, suggesting that bioconversion is a promising means to produce dietary supplements with therapeutic properties. Nevertheless, additional studies are required to confirm the efficacy of bioconversioned RE as a health functional food, particularly when consumed daily.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40538-022-00311-5.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Typical LC–MS chromatogram of untargeted secondary metabolites of bioconversioned RE.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

H-JK: formal analysis, investigation, methodology; HA: formal analysis; BSK: methodology; S-SK: methodology; K-GL: supervision; validation; investigation; project administration. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea [grant number NRF, 2021R1A2B5B01002296].

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 21 February 2022 Accepted: 14 June 2022 Published online: 27 June 2022

References

- Burchi F, Fanzo J, Frison E. The role of food and nutrition system approaches in tackling hidden hunger. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2011;8(2):358–73.
- Chung H-K, Lee H-Y. Consumption of health functional foods according to age group in some regions of Korea. J Korean Dietetic Assoc. 2011;17(2):190–205.
- Kim SH. A study on the use of health functional foods and its related influencing factors of university students in Korea. J Korean Soc Food Cult. 2010;25(2):150–9.
- Leresche JE, Meyer H-P. Chemocatalysis and biocatalysis (biotransformation): some thoughts of a chemist and of a biotechnologist. Org Process Res Dev. 2006;10(3):572–80.
- Cho Y-H, Cho J-S, Lee G-W. Antioxidant activity of wood vinegar by bioconversion. J Korea Acad-Ind Cooperation Soc. 2011;12(10):4434–42.
- Pimentel MR, Molina G, Dionísio AP, Maróstica Junior MR, Pastore GM. The use of endophytes to obtain bioactive compounds and their application in biotransformation process. Biotechnol Res Int. 2011;2011:576286.
- Shi CH, Xue JM, Yu YG, Yang XE, Zhu J. Analysis of genetic effects on nutrient quality traits in indica rice. Theor Appl Genet. 1996;92(8):1099–102.
- Chan M-T, Lee T-M, Chang H-H. Transformation of indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Plant Cell Physiol. 1992;33(5):577–83.
- Kim BS, Kim H, Kang S-S. In vitro anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory activities of lactic acid bacteria-biotransformed mulberry (*Morus alba* Linnaeus) fruit extract against Salmonella Typhimurium. Food Control. 2019;106:106758.
- Mathur A, Verma SK, Singh SK, Prasad G, Dua VK. Investigation of the antimicrobial, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of compound isolated from *Murraya koenigii*. Int J Appl Biol Pharm Technol. 2011;2(1):470–7.
- 11. Sivakumar PM, Sheshayan G, Doble M. Experimental and QSAR of acetophenones as antibacterial agents. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2008;72(4):303–13.
- 12. Ivanova I, Kabadjova P, Pantev A, Danova S, Dousset X. Detection, purification and partial characterization of a novel bacteriocin substance

produced by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis B14 isolated from boza-Bulgarian traditional cereal beverage. Biocatalysis. 2000;41(6):47–53.

- Wen Y-L, Yan L-P, Chen C-S. Effects of fermentation treatment on antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of four common Chinese herbal medicinal residues by *Aspergillus oryzae*. J Food Drug Anal. 2013;21(2):219–26.
- Laosee W, Kantachote D, Chansuwan W, Thongraung C, Sirinuipong N. Anti-salmonella potential and antioxidant activity of fermented fruitbased juice by lactic acid bacteria and its biotransformation. Funct Foods Health Dis. 2021;11(8):368–84.
- Roy R, Tiwari M, Donelli G, Tiwari V. Strategies for combating bacterial biofilms: a focus on anti-biofilm agents and their mechanisms of action. Virulence. 2018;9(1):522–54.
- Le B, Anh PTN, Yang SH. Enhancement of the anti-inflammatory effect of mustard kimchi on RAW 264.7 macrophages by the *Lactobacillus plantarum* fermentation-mediated generation of phenolic compound derivatives. Foods. 2020;9(2):181.
- Le B, Ngoc A, Yang S. Synbiotic fermented soymilk with *Weissella cibaria* FB069 and xylooligosaccharides prevents proliferation in human colon cancer cells. J Appl Microbiol. 2020;128(5):1486–96.
- Leonard W, Zhang P, Ying D, Adhikari B, Fang Z. Fermentation transforms the phenolic profiles and bioactivities of plant-based foods. Biotechnol Adv. 2021;49:107763.
- Favier L, Tonn C, Guerreiro E, Rotelli A, Pelzer L. Anti-inflammatory activity of acetophenones from *Ophryosporus axilliflorus*. Planta Med. 1998;64(07):657–9.
- Digby JE, Martinez F, Jefferson A, Ruparelia N, Chai J, Wamil M, et al. Antiinflammatory effects of nicotinic acid in human monocytes are mediated by GPR109A dependent mechanisms. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2012;32(3):669–76.
- Suzuki Y, Kosaka M, Shindo K, Kawasumi T, Kimoto-Nira H, Suzuki C. Identification of antioxidants produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2013;77(6):1299–302.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Page 9 of 9

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com