

Transcriptome analysis reveals candidate genes for diferent root types of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) after water stress induced by PEG-6000

Abstract

Background We aimed to gain insight into the response mechanism of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) to drought stress by recognizing and analyzing drought-responsive genes in the roots of diferent root types of alfalfa. The rhizomatousrooted *M. sativa* cv.'Qingshui' (QS), tap-rooted *M. sativa* cv.'Longdong' (LD), and creeping-rooted *M. varia* cv. 'Gongnong No. 4' (GN) were used to analyze the transcriptome information and physiological characteristics of the root systems of the cultivars under simulated drought stress using PEG-6000.

Results It was found that aridity caused a significant increase in the content of osmotic stress substances and antioxidant enzyme activity. The content of malondialdehyde (MDA) in QS was lower than that in LD and GN under moisture stress, indicating a stronger accumulation capacity of osmotic regulatory substances. Based on sequencing results, 14,475, 9336, and 9243 upregulated DEGs from QS, LD, and GN were annotated into 26, 29, and 28 transcription factor families, respectively. QS showed more DEGs than LD and GN. KEGG enrichment analysis identifed that DEGs were signifcantly enriched in metabolic pathways such as amino acid biosynthesis, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, plant hormone signaling transduction, and MAPK pathways. This suggests a strong correlation between these pathways and drought stress. The results also show that genes associated with ABA hormone signaling (*MS*. gene93372, *MS*. gene072046, and *MS*. gene012975) are crucial for plant's adaptation to drought stress.

Conclusions These genes, such as serine/threonine protein kinases and abscisic acid receptors, play a crucial role in plant hormone signaling and MAPK pathways. They could serve as potential candidate genes for drought resistance research in alfalfa, providing a molecular foundation for studying drought resistance.

Keywords Alfalfa, Drought stress, Transcriptome, Physiological characteristics

*Correspondence:

Li‑Li Nan 958032689@qq.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Introduction

Medicago sativa or alfalfa, a high-quality legume forage, could be used as a protein source for livestock and improve soil fertility by fxing nitrogen in the air [\[1](#page-14-0)]. Over 4×10^7 hectares of land worldwide were planted with alfalfa [[2,](#page-14-1) [3\]](#page-14-2). Alfalfa was capable of great drought resistance due to its more developed root system compared to other forage species [\[4](#page-14-3)]. Nevertheless, the primary factor impeding alfalfa output continues to be drought $[5]$ $[5]$. The northern regions of China, such as Gansu, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, Shaanxi, and Xinjiang have emerged as the primary production areas for alfalfa, accounting for 70% of the nation's total planting area $[6]$ $[6]$. Meanwhile, these regions also experienced climate conditions of drought and low rainfall, which signifcantly afected stable production and economic benefts. Due to the incapacity of domestic cultivation and production to meet demand, alfalfa hay imports currently account for a substantial portion of the domestic market's needs [\[7](#page-14-6)]. Therefore, it was crucial to investigate how alfalfa responds to water deficits to improve its drought resistance and dry matter production.

Plants have developed a unique set of mechanisms to withstand and adapt to aridity, enabling them to cope with the complex and continuously changing natural environment over a prolonged evolutionary process [\[8](#page-14-7)]. Plants respond to water deficits through a complex network of genes, including regulatory genes and functional genes, that work together to regulate their growth. These regulatory mechanisms include stomatal movement, the clearance of active oxygen, stress hormones, the synthesis of osmoregulatory substances, energy metabolism, and phenotypic changes $[4, 9-11]$ $[4, 9-11]$ $[4, 9-11]$ $[4, 9-11]$. For instance, drought disrupts the balance between the production and elimination of active oxygen. Excessive accumulation can lead to cell membrane damage, nucleic acid destruction, protein oxidation, and seriously afect normal metabolism [[12,](#page-14-10) [13\]](#page-14-11). As a result, plants could signifcantly increase the activity of related enzymes like catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) within their systems to mitigate oxidative harm triggered by arid conditions [\[14](#page-14-12)].

Transcriptome sequencing technology emerged in 2008, which makes it possible to investigate the mechanisms behind plants' responses to stress and the genes associated with stress alleviation by examining the expression of diferentially expressed genes (DEGs) in plants under specific time and condition sets $[15-17]$ $[15-17]$ $[15-17]$.

The application of this research method has screened many genes and transcription factors (TFs) related to drought resistance in alfalfa. TFs involved in regulating drought response in alfalfa mainly include families such as NAC, WRKY, bHLH, MYB, and B3 [[18](#page-14-15)]. When Fang et al. originally constructed a full-length transcriptome of drought-stressed alfalfa roots, they found that the majority of DEGs were primarily concentrated in the families of AP2/ERF-ERF, C2H2, bHLH, and bZIP, followed by enrichment in the C3H, MYB, WRKY, GRAS, and NAC families [[19\]](#page-14-16). Consequently, there has been plenty of enthusiasm for investigating gene families, such as the PLD, PYL/RCAR, and ZF-HD gene families [[20](#page-14-17)[–23](#page-14-18)]. Many DEGs involved in alfalfa's drought response are linked to numerous stress processes, such as sugar metabolism, lignin, and wax biosynthesis [[4\]](#page-14-3). Genes associated with abscisic acid metabolism, root growth, antioxidant enzyme activity, cell membrane stability, ubiquitination, and genetic processing all respond to drought stress [[24](#page-14-19)[–26](#page-14-20)], and expression patterns of DEGs involved in the abscisic acid and auxin hormone signaling pathways have been found to change under stress in numerous studies [\[27](#page-14-21), [28\]](#page-14-22). Alfalfa circular RNA (circRNA) and long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) exhibit diversity under drought and high salt stress, which may be key node genes regulating stress [[29\]](#page-14-23). Various genotypes or varieties of alfalfa may respond to drought in multiple ways, and some have been demonstrated to perform well under such circumstances [[30](#page-14-24)]. Previously, research on alfalfa's drought-resistant adaptation mechanism focused mainly on the aboveground parts, with studies on the root system only recently gaining prominence [[5](#page-14-4), [31](#page-14-25)].

Alfalfa's root systems are classifed into four types: rhizomatous-rooted, tap-rooted, creeping-rooted, and branch-rooted $[32]$ $[32]$ $[32]$. The tap-rooted variety of alfalfa has notably developed main roots that are immediately distinguishable from lateral roots due to their thickness and length. Rhizomatous-rooted alfalfa can grow horizontally from transverse stem nodes originating from the central axis of the roots and sprout new shoots to grow upwards, forming new branches. Unlike rhizomatousrooted alfalfa, creeping-rooted alfalfa can sprout adventitious buds from the shallow root neck and extend to the surface to form new branches. Nevertheless, rhizomatous alfalfa only has a 60% tiller plant rate due to the infuence of gene sources and the ecological environment (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). The development of the root system in branch-rooted alfalfa is afected by the growing environment, planting density, growth time, and soil texture [\[33](#page-14-27), [34](#page-14-28)]. Currently, no branch-rooted alfalfa varieties have been cultivated in China.

The various drought resistance mechanisms of alfalfa are determined by its genes. Therefore, it is crucial to explore the relationship between its specifc gene expression and drought resistance. There has been a general consensus that drought severely restricts the development of the alfalfa industry. Improving the drought resistance traits of alfalfa through molecular biology methods and techniques to develop new varieties with high yield, high resistance, and high quality is a more direct and efective approach to carrying out alfalfa breeding programs. For this reason, this work examined the DEGs of three root types of alfalfa using high-throughput sequencing techniques under drought stress. The analysis focused on signal transduction, regulation, metabolic mechanisms, and exploring drought resistance-related

Tap-rooted

Rhizomatous-rooted

Creeping-rooted

Fig. 1 Root structure diagram of alfalfa showing different types of roots

genes to lay the foundation for understanding the molecular mechanisms of alfalfa in response to drought stress.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and experimental conditions

The rhizomatous-rooted *M. sativa* 'Qingshui' (QS), taprooted *M. sativa* 'Longdong' (LD), and creeping-rooted *M. varia* 'Gongnong' No. 4 (GN) of alfalfa were used in the experiment. After disinfecting the seeds with 5% NaClO, they were sown in pots to ensure that the number of seeds sown in each pot is consistent. After sprouting, thinning was carried out to have 20 evenly distributed seedlings in each pot. The pot were then placed in the growth chamber (YSTH-B8-20, EshengTaiHe Ctrl Tech, China). The growth conditions included a16 h: 8 h of light: dark roation, relative humidity of 60%, and a light flux density of 450 mol m^{-2} s⁻¹. The necessary water and nutrients for seedling growth were supplied using Hoagland's nutrient solution [\[35](#page-14-29)].

Treatment of stress

After more than 60 days of growth, the average height of alfalfa seedlings reached about 40 cm, and PEG-6000 stress was applied on July 10, 2021. The PEG-6000 was dissolved in the Hoagland nutrient solution in proportion and watered into the corresponding pots to induce osmotic stress on alfalfa. The dissolution of PEG-6000 had three levels of osmotic stress on alfalfa: 0 MPa (control, CK), -1.0 MPa (moderate drought, M), and -2.0 MPa (severe drought, S) [\[36\]](#page-14-30). The experiment started on May 10, 2021, and ended on July 17.

Sample collection

After 7 days of stress treatment, signifcant changes were observed in the aboveground morphological characteristics of alfalfa, and samples were collected on June 17, 2021. The root system was separated from the aboveground part, dug up and then thoroughly washed. Subsequently, multiple repeated samples of the same treatment were promptly frozen in liquid nitrogen (stored at − 80 °C) for subsequent indicator determination [\[7\]](#page-14-6).

Determining the concentration of physiological and total favonoids

The malondialdehyde (MDA) was determined using the method of Christou et al. [[37\]](#page-15-0). Proline (Pro) was determined following Bates et al.'s method [[38\]](#page-15-1). Soluble sugar (SS) and soluble protein (SP) were analyzed using the methods of Bradford and Buysse et al. [\[39,](#page-15-2) [40\]](#page-15-3). Superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), and catalase (CAT) activities were measured following the methods of Meloni et al. and Wassie et al. $[41, 42]$ $[41, 42]$ $[41, 42]$. The colorimetric technique with aluminum chloride was used to determine the total favonoid content [\[43,](#page-15-6) [44](#page-15-7)]. All the above indicators were measured three times with biological replicates.

RNA extraction and sequencing

RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). The construction and sequencing of cDNA libraries were conducted by the Beijing Nuohe Zhiyuan Technology platform. Total RNA was enriched with polyA-tail mRNA using Oligo (dT) magnetic beads and then randomly interrupted with divalent cations in a fragmentation buffer. The first cDNA strand was synthesized using the M-MuLV reverse transcriptase system with fragmented mRNA as a template, followed by the synthesis of the second cDNA strand using dNTPs in the DNA polymerase I system. The cDNA was screened at approximately 370–420 bp, subjected to PCR amplifcation, and purifed using AMPureXP beads to obtain the library. The insert size of the library was assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer after library construction, and qRT-PCR was used to accurately quantify the efective concentration of the library (the efective concentration should be higher than 2 nM) to ensure library quality. Three biological replicates were processed of each sample transcriptome during execution. The sequencing data have been uploaded to the NCBI website (BioProject ID: PRJNA1098431).

Diferential expression gene screening

Gene's relative expression levels were estimated using the RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase Per Million Reads) technique. DEGs were screened using the DESeq technique, with a threshold padj < 0.05 and log_2X $[X = (Fold Change,$ $[FC] > 2.$

*qRT***‑***PCR analysis*

qRT-PCR analysis was conducted using the Roche LightCycler® 96 real-time fuorescence quantitative PCR system (Roche LightCycler® 96, Switzerland). Primers were designed using Primer 5.0 (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Reaction procedure: 94 °C for 30 s, 98 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, 35 cycles, 72 °C for 2 min. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used to calculate relative expression levels [[45\]](#page-15-8).

Analysis of the WGCNA co‑expression module

WGCNA is a systematic biological method commonly used to describe gene association patterns between different samples as well as causal relationships between module features and phenotypes [[46](#page-15-9), [47\]](#page-15-10). It involves selecting appropriate thresholds in the construction of the co-expression network through WGCNA analysis, and identifying the module with the highest weight and

the core genes selected from the optimal module $(P < 0.05$ and $log_2X>2$). Finally, significantly enriched functions and signaling pathways were selected $[48]$ $[48]$. This paper utilizes an online website ([https://cloud.majorbio.com/](https://cloud.majorbio.com/page/tools/) [page/tools/\)](https://cloud.majorbio.com/page/tools/) to conduct WGCNA analysis of DEGs and phenotypes.

Statistical analysis

Excel 2019 was used to statistically analyze and screen genetic data. Signifcant diference in physiological indicators between leaves and roots under diferent stress gradients were determined using one-way analysis of variance. Origin 2021 and R (Version 3.5.0) software was used for the above statistical analysis and mapping.

Results

Quality assessment of transcriptome data

Illumina sequencing was used to analyze the alfalfa roots after PEG-6000 stress. QS, LD, and GN generated raw read numbers of QS, LD and GN ranged from 42295080~49738736, 41205642~46098132, and 418[2](#page-5-0)4044~50649804, respectively (Table 2). The number of clean reads ranged from $40954086 \sim 48104590$, 38388564~44808706, and 39350418~49168332. The error rate remains below 3%, with Q30 above 92.90%, 93.17%, and 93.22%. The GC content ranged from $42.01\% \sim 43.48\%, 41.79\% \sim 44.55\%, \text{ and } 42.14\% \sim 43.76\%.$ Meeting the requirements for database construction.

Analysis of DEGs in diferent root types of alfalfa

To identify PEG-6000 stress response genes in three root types of alfalfa, we analyzed DEGs in the root transcriptome dates after stress treatment (Fig. [2](#page-5-1) and Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). Groups M and S obtained 16,260 and 14,475, 6920 and 9336, and 9295 and 9243 upregulated DEGs in QS, LD, and GN, accounting for 52.85% and 49.82%, 53.19% and 46.56%, and 46.65% and 43.96% of the total DEGs, respectively. The number of DEGs showed more downregulation than upregulation in QS, LD, and GN under S stress. The number of unique DEGs 6419, 5319, and 6247 in QS, LD, and GN caused by PEG-6000 stress, respectively (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1). QS contained the most unique genes, which were 20.68% and 2.75% higher than LD and GN, respectively, while LD has the least unique genes.

GO enrichment of DEGs in diferent root types of alfalfa

GO functional enrichment analysis was performed on signifcantly enriched DEGs (Fig. [5](#page-6-2)), which were enriched in biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions. The DEGs of QS were significantly enriched in 13 subcategories of 3 major categories (accounting for 36.30%, 10.25%, and 53.45%). Annotate biological processes such as response to acid chemical (17) and oxygen-containing compounds (17), cellular components such as extracellular region (65) and apoplast (48), and additionally, molecular functions include antioxidant (181) and peroxidase (171) activity. The DEGs of LD were enriched in 9 subclasses of biological processes and molecular functions (accounting for 65.20% and 34.80%). The former included the nicotianamine metabolic process (11) and the tricarboxylic acid biosynthetic process (74). The latter included nicotianamine synthase activity (30) and carboxylic acid binding (30). The DEGs of GN were significantly enriched in 24 subcategories of 3 major categories (accounting for 42.88%, 21.61%, and 36.50%). They were biological processes such as polysaccharide metabolism (113) and cellular glucan metabolism (99), as well as cellular components such as apoplast (57) and extracellular region (72). Molecular functions included xyloglucosyl transferase activity (57) and glucosyltransferase activity (105). GO enrichment analysis revealed that QS, LD, and GN were mostly associated with antioxidant enzyme activity, organic acid and amino acid metabolism, and carbohydrate metabolism in response to PEG-6000 stress.

Table 2 Sequencing data quality evaluation

Fig. 2 Expression analysis of DEGs of different root types of alfalfa under drought. The horizontal axis in the figure represents each treatment, and the vertical axis represents the number of DEGs. Red and green represent upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M. sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Fig. 3 Volcano plot of DEGs. The horizontal axis in the figure represents the log₂FoldChange value, and the vertical axis represents -log₁₀padj or -log₁₀pvalue. The dashed line represents the threshold line for screening differential genes. Red and green represent upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Fig. 4 DEGs Venn diagram. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Fig. 5 GO enrichment analysis. The horizontal axis in the figure represents the ratio of the number of DEGs annotated on GO term to the total number of DEGs, while the vertical axis represents GO term. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui' (4A); LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong' (4B); GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4' (4D)

KEGG pathway enrichment of DEGs in diferent root types of alfalfa

KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that QS DEGs were enriched in pathways (Fig. [6\)](#page-7-0) such as starch and sucrose metabolism (61), arginine and proline metabolism (32), linoleic acid metabolism (14), phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (60), carbon fxation (23), and similar alpha linolenic acid metabolism (23). The metabolic pathways that were markedly enriched in LD mainly included oxidative phosphorylation (34), amino acid biosynthesis (61), spliceosomes (58), plant hormone signaling transduction (58), and the MAPK pathway-plants (37). DEGs were signifcantly enriched in metabolic pathways such as brassinosteroid biosynthesis (5), RNA transport (52), plant–pathogen interaction (54), and the MAPK pathway (46) in GN. The mentioned metabolic pathways could have crucial to how alfalfa responded to PEG-6000 stress.

Analysis of diferentially expressed TFs in diferent root types of alfalfa

Diferent transcription factor (TF) families' gene expression levels fuctuated in response to PEG-6000 stress. 772 DEGs from 26 TF families were identifed in QS. Among them, 374 exhibited upregulation, while the remainder showed downregulation. A total of 736 DEGs from 29 TF families were found in LD, with 364

upregulated and 372 downregulated. There were 794 DEGs from 28 TF families in GN, with 326 upregulated and 468 downregulated. The top 10 TF families with the most DEGs were AP2/ERF, MYB, C2, bZIP, WRKY, GRAS, SNF2, FAR1, SET, and B3 (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)). The TF families with the highest number of upregulated DEGs expressions were C2H2, MYB, AP2, and WRKY in QS, LD, and GN.

Screening of TFs involved in the PEG‑6000 stress response of diferent root types of alfalfa

In order to further explore the key regulatory factors of diferent root types of alfalfa in response to PEG-6000 stress, we conducted a detailed screening of the transcriptome data (Table 3). By analyzing the significant metabolic pathways and annotation results by DEGs, we identifed 8 TFs from 5 families, all of which showed upregulation during stress. The fold changes belonging to the MYB (*MS.* gene020647) and WRKY (*MS.* gene058475) families were above 9.51. Both of them exhibited high expression under drought stress. Therefore, we hypothesized that they might be key players in controlling alfalfa's adaptability to water-defcient conditions, which warrants further validation.

Fig. 6 KEGG enrichment analysis. The horizontal axis in the fgure represents the KEGG pathway, and the vertical axis represents the signifcance level of pathway enrichment. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Fig. 7 Statistics of differential expressed TFs. The horizontal axis in the figure represents the number of genes, while the vertical axis represents the transcription factor family. Red and green represent upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Table 3 Differentially expressed TFs of different root types of alfalfa under drought stress

Gene ID	Log ₂ FC	Family	Gene description
MS.gene020647	10.06	MYB	Transcription factor MYB36
MS.gene57543	9.86	\bigcirc	C2 domain-containing protein At1g63220
MS.gene36782	9.41	AP ₂	Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF039
MS.gene026023	8.35	bZIP	Light-inducible protein CPRF2
MS.gene042854	7.84	\bigcirc	Protein C2-DOMAIN ABA-RELATED 4
MS.gene87956	7.72	AP ₂	Ethylene-responsive transcription factor RAP2-11
MS.gene058475	9.51	WRKY	WRKY transcription factor 40
MS.gene051664	6.75	AP ₂	AP2-like ethylene-responsive transcription factor ANT

*DEGs screening and qRT***‑***PCR validation of diferent root types of alfalfa*

The DEGs with high expression after PEG-6000 stress were analyzed in the KEGG database. It was discovered that they were enriched in metabolic pathways such as carbon, galactose, and linoleic acid metabolism; plant hormone signaling; N-glycan biosynthesis; oxidative phosphorylation; and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in three root types of alfalfa (Table [4](#page-9-0)). We focused on DEGs with high fold changes involved in the plant hormone signaling pathways within these metabolic pathways. We specifcally selected genes that were signifcantly upregulated in this pathway, such as ABA hormone signaling-related genes (*MS.* gene93372*, MS.* gene012975*, and MS.* gene072046), and hypothesized that these genes might play a role in water utilization and osmotic regulation during water defciency (Table [5\)](#page-9-1).

The expression of 8 genes was randomly detected using the qRT-PCR method. The findings demonstrated that these genes corresponded with the expression trend of DEGs in transcriptome sequencing (Fig. [8\)](#page-9-2), indicating that the information obtained from transcriptome sequencing in this study was highly reliable. However, only a few genes had diferent expression trends. For example, the expression levels of ABA-responsive element binding proteins (*MS*. gene012975 and *MS*. gene38710) and protein

Table 4 DEGs of diferent root types of alfalfa under drought stress

Gene ID	log ₂ FC	Gene description
MS.gene89315	6.37	Aldo-keto reductase family 4 member C9
MS.gene037735	8.51	NAD-dependent malic enzyme 62 kDa isoform, mitochondrial
MS.gene69076	8.32	ATP-dependent 6-phosphofructokinase 3
MS.gene93372	6.04	Abscisic acid receptor PYL4
MS.gene93870	2.12	Alpha-1,3 1,6-mannosyltransferase ALG2
MS.gene052700	3.70	Linoleate 9S-lipoxygenase
MS.gene024661	7.36	V-type proton ATPase subunit E
MS.gene072046	3.47	Serine/threonine-protein kinase SRK2A
MS.gene88971	7.44	Peroxidase 64
MS.gene012975	4 2 1	ABA-responsive element-binding protein

Table 5 Statistics of target DEGs and TFs under drought stress

phosphatase (*MS*. gene29514) in GN were higher than those in QS and LD after stress, while the expression levels of ubiquitin ligase (*MS*. gene26466), abscisic acid receptor PYL9 (*MS*. gene95282), serine/threonine protein kinase (*MS*. gene072046), and abscisic acid receptor PYL4 (*MS*. gene040004) were similar in QS, LD, and GN after stress.

Physiological and total favonoids responses to PEG‑6000 stress

The levels of SP, SS, Pro, MDA, SOD, POD, CAT and total favonoids in the roots increased as the degree of stress increased (Fig. [9](#page-11-0)). The content of these indexes increased in QS, LD, and GN after severe stress (by 13.54%, 4.26%, 11.77%; 246.84%, 269.51%, 81.16%; 165.06%, 182.63%, 200.68%; 9.68%, 175.94%, 63.49%; 73.71%, 23.71%, 32.24%; 129.94%, 85.15%, 30.41%; and 20.41%, 29.61%, 23.79%; and 117.46%, 148.94%, 150.79%, respectively). Diferent root types of alfalfa exhibited varying tendencies; for example, QS exhibited much higher POD activity than LD and GN (*P*<0.05), but MDA displayed the reverse pattern.

The CAT activity and SS content of LD were significantly higher than those of QS and GN $(P<0.05)$. SOD activity was substantially lower in GN than in QS ($P < 0.05$), and the Pro content of GN was signifcantly higher than in QS and LD (P<0.05). In contrast to QS and LD, GN's total flavonoid content increased signifcantly under − 1.0 MPa and is much higher than that of the other two. The tendency to consist of greater root content than aboveground was observed in all osmotic stress substances and antioxidant enzymes, except for POD.

WGCNA module clustering tree diagram and correlation between each module and traits

WGCNA analysis based on the phenotypes and DEGs expression levels revealed that DEGs were divided into 16 modules (Fig. [10\)](#page-12-0). The modules that were positively correlated with SS, SP, and MDA were MEpurple and MEsalmon, while the modules negatively correlated with MDA were MEgreenyellow, MEturquoise, and MEgrey. SOD, POD, and CAT were positively correlated with the modules MEpurple, MEcyan, MEmidnightblue, MEbrown, MEblack, and MEmagenta. KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that genes in the MEpurple, MEsalmon, MEgreenyellow, MEturquoise, and MEgrey modules were enriched in amino acid biosynthesis, carbon metabolism, N-sugar biosynthesis, and arginine and proline metabolism pathways. The gene modules positively correlated with antioxidant enzymes were enriched in favonoid and sugar biosynthesis, carbon, linoleic acid, arginine, and proline metabolism; proteasomes, citric acid cycle, and peroxisomes. It was found that the total favonoid and soluble sugar content in alfalfa roots signifcantly increased with stress. This suggests that genes involved in regulating flavonoid and sugar synthesis may have a potential regulatory relationship with antioxidant enzyme synthesis.

Discussion

Plant productivity is mostly restricted by drought in low rainfall regions [\[49\]](#page-15-12). Plants alter the expression of genes associated with stress responses when encountering water scarcity, thereby regulating cell metabolism and physiological procedures that aid the plant's survival in water-deprived environments [\[50,](#page-15-13) [51](#page-15-14)]. Therefore, studying alterations in the expression of relevant genes in plant tissues offers crucial insights into their molecular response mechanisms and valuable information for developing possible drought resistance strategies.

⁽See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 8 qRT-PCR validation of gene after drought stress. The horizontal axis in the figure represents each treatment, and the vertical axis represents the relative expression level. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. CK: control; M: medium stress; S: severe stress

Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)

Fig. 9 Effect of physiological characteristics of alfalfa under drought stress. Capital letters and lowercase letters represent the significance of aboveground and underground parts among diferent treatments, while * represents the signifcance between aboveground and underground parts under one treatment. Red and blue represent the above ground and underground parts, respectively. QS: rhizomatous-rooted *Medicago sativa* 'Qingshui'; LD: *M*. *sativa* tap-rooted 'Longdong'; GN: creeping-rooted *M. varia* Martin 'Gongnong No.4'. *CK* control; *M* medium stress; *S* severe stress

According to GO functional enrichment analysis, the DEGs of QS, LD, and GN were markedly enriched in response to oxidative stress, acidic chemicals, and polysaccharide metabolism. This suggests that these

pathways are the primary molecular response strategies to cope with oxidative damage induced by reactive oxygen species under PEG-6000 stress. The POD and SOD could eliminate the damage of ROS to cells, while the

 -0.5

 $\overline{0}$

 -0.5

Correlation between module and trait

 (0.49)

 02

 (0.36)

 0.07

 (0.78)

 0.0

 (0.77)

 (0.94) (0.91) $0.02)$

 (0.01) (0.68) (0.24) (0.29)

MDA

0.34 -0.03

 $\frac{(0.16)}{0.57}$ (0.89)

 (0.01)

 0.41 0.59

 (0.09) (0.01)

 (0.30) (0.12)

 (0.02) (0.04)

 (0.02)
0.55 (0.09)
0.24

 (0.31)

 0.10 -0.19

 (0.69) (0.03)

 0.20

 (0.04)

 0.03 0.53 0.41

 (0.89)

 0.10

 0.087

 (0.73)

 (0.26) (0.44) -0.01

 (0.18) (0.99) (0.02)

 -0.16

SOD POD CAT

 $\frac{0.61}{(0.01)}$

 (0.14) (0.04)

0.49

 (0.00)

 0.04

 (0.87)

 (0.45) (0.70)

 (0.07)

 (0.30)

 (0.52)

 -0.21

 (0.55)
 (0.13)

 $\frac{0.34}{(0.17)}$

 0.35 0.44

 (0.16) (0.07) (0.04)

 (0.86)

 (0.42)

 -0.14 -0.21

 0.33

 (0.18)

0.69 0.42 0.58 0.24

 0.13 0.09 0.24 -0.11 0.6

 (0.61) (0.70) (0.35)

 -0.22 $0A$

 (0.39) (0.07)

0.46 -0.27 0.231 -0.16

 (0.05) (0.37) (0.36) (0.53)

 (0.29) (0.36) 0.01 (0.16)

 0.39

 (0.11)

 0.14 0.30

 (0.57) (0.23) (0.02) (0.02) (0.67) (0.02) (0.34)

 0.25

 (0.32) (0.01)

 -0.10

 (0.69)

 (0.01) (0.11)

 -0.42
(0.08) 0.34 0.21 $\overline{00}$

 (0.74) (0.52)

 SP

 (0.39) (0.38)

 (0.01)

 (0.08) 0.01 (0.35)

 06

 -0.35 0.35

 (0.12) (0.15)

 -0.19 -0.3 -0.25 -0.33

 (0.46) (0.15) (0.32)

 (0.16)

 -0.16

SS Pro

130

67

75

605

289

1601

 531 0.08

MEpurple

MEcvan

MEbrown

MEblack

MEsalmon

MEyellow

MEpink

MEred

MEblue

MEgreen

MEgrey

MEtan

Fig. 10 Cluster dendrogram and module-trait relationships of WGCNA

enhancement of enzyme activity is closely related to gene expression levels. Studies have shown that P5CS (delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthesis) is related to the synthesis of POD and SOD, and is highly expressed after alfalfa sufered PEG-6000 stress [[3\]](#page-14-2), which aligns with the results of this research (*MS*. gene89313). The main pathways by which plants cope with a lack of moisture include the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, plant hormone signal transduction, amino acid biosynthesis, and plant–pathogen interaction $[18, 52-54]$ $[18, 52-54]$ $[18, 52-54]$. The present investigation revealed that the DEGs exhibited notable enrichment in starch and sucrose metabolism, phenylpropanoid and amino acid biosynthesis, phytohormone signal transduction, plant–pathogen interaction, and the MAPK pathway.

This demonstrates that the metabolic pathways mentioned above play a crucial regulatory role in defending against PEG-6000 stress in three root types of alfalfa. When plants detect drought signals, they transmit these signals to relevant organelles to trigger gene expression associated with carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism pathways, promoting the activation of metabolism in a manner that supports osmotic regulation [[55](#page-15-17)]. Primarily, small molecules are created through the combination of sugars and amino acids to regulate osmotic pressure[[56](#page-15-18)] and prevent further water loss from plant cells [[57\]](#page-15-19). The present research observed that genes related to sucrose synthase and sucrose transferase were upregulated. This suggests that alfalfa can tolerate drought stress by increasing the concentration of small molecules (glucose) and so enhancing osmotic potential [[4\]](#page-14-3). Previous

types of alfalfa, and the annotated DEGs accounted for 6.75%, 7.13%, and 10.11% of the total number of genes in the top 20 metabolic pathways, respectively. This indicates a strong association between the MAPK pathway and plant growth, development, and stress response. TFs are crucial regulatory proteins in plants that respond to various hormones and environmental factors, transmit intracellular signals, and control gene expression. When plants are under drought stress, TFs play an indispensable role [\[65](#page-15-27)]. It could also interact with other regulatory factors or bind to cis-elements to control the expression of genes associated with downstream defense mechanisms [[66\]](#page-15-28). When PEG stress treatment was applied to the full-length transcriptome of alfalfa roots, research revealed that TFs were primarily enriched in the FAR1, NAC, bZIP, bHLH, AP2/ERF, WRKY, Myb-related, and MYB families [\[18](#page-14-15), [19,](#page-14-16) [67](#page-15-29)]. ERF, AP2/ERF, WRKY, bHLH, MYB, and other families are common TFs that regulate plant growth, development, and environmental adaptation [\[68–](#page-15-30)[71\]](#page-15-31). *Arabidopsis* plants overexpressing *TaWRKY1-2D* and *MfERF053* promote root growth and increase the fresh weight of lateral roots under drought stress $[72, 73]$ $[72, 73]$ $[72, 73]$ $[72, 73]$ $[72, 73]$. The majority of the differential genes in the present research originate from families like AP2/ ERF, MYB, C2, bZIP, WRKY, GRAS, SNF2, FAR1, SET, and B3, and there is a great expression of TFs associated with drought resistance. The TFs identified in the aforementioned families enhance alfalfa's tolerance by acting as regulators in the plant's response to arid stress.

Plants possess an intricate signal network that allows them to quickly detect changes in their surroundings rapidly, control specifc gene expression, and establish a series of morphological and physiological defense mechanisms. A study found that subjecting *Arabidopsis* to drought stress and overexpressing *IbWRKY2* results in increased SOD activity and decreased MDA and H_2O_2 levels. Simultaneously, there was a great expression of genes linked to the proline biosynthesis pathway, antioxidant enzymes, and the ABA signal transduction system, indicating that the WRKY TF enhances drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* [\[74](#page-16-0)]. Consequently, the greatly enriched WRKY-TFs may also have the potential to improve the drought resistance of alfalfa in this research. Alfalfa also changes the activity of antioxidant enzymes by regulating the expression of genes associated with them. Increased activity of SOD, POD, and CAT enzymes has the potential to reverse drought stressrelated damage $[18]$ $[18]$. This work revealed that the manufacture of favonoids is primarily regulated by genes that exhibit a positive correlation with antioxidant enzymes. To enhance alfalfa's resistance to drought, further research could focus on the genes related to antioxidant enzymes and favonoids.

Conclusion

PEG-6000 stress induced changes in the root transcriptome information of QS, LD, and GN. 14,475, 9336, and 9243 upregulated DEGs were identifed and annotated into 26 (QS), 29 (LD), and 28 (GN) TF families, respectively. KEGG annotation of DEGs indicated their involvement in pathways such as phenylalanine biosynthesis, arginine and proline metabolism, amino acid biosynthesis, plant hormone signal transduction, and the MAPK pathway. The TFs *MsMYB36* (*MS*. gene020647) and *MsWRKY40* (*MS*. gene058475), as well as ABA hormone signaling-related genes (*MS*. gene93372 and *MS*. gene072046), identifed through further screening of diferentially expressed genes, play crucial roles in responding to drought stress. Through WGCNA analysis, it was discovered that the biosynthesis of favonoids was mostly mediated by genes that positively connect with antioxidant enzymes. These research results provide new directions and ideas for further exploration of drought resistance in alfalfa and can help establish a molecular foundation for investigating drought resistance in alfalfa.

Author contributions

L-LN planned and designed the research. KW analyzed the data, wrote, and revised the manuscript. JX, Y-HY, JC, and J-RC made plentiful valuable comments for the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32160327), the China Forage and Grass Research System (No. CARS-34), the Excellent Doctoral Student Program (24JRRA675) and the "Innovation Star" project for outstanding postgraduates in Gansu Province (2023CXZX-624).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

This research has been confrmed for publication in the journal.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Key Laboratory of Forage Gerplasm Innovation and New Variety Breeding of Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Afairs (Co‑Sponsored By the Ministry and Gansu Province), Key Laboratory of Grassland Ecosystem of Ministry of Education, College of Pratacultural Science, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou 730070, China.

Received: 30 April 2024 Accepted: 31 July 2024Published online: 05 August 2024

References

- 1. Arshad M, Gruber M, Hannoufa A. Transcriptome analysis of microRNA156 overexpression alfalfa roots under drought stress. Sci Rep. 2018;8:9363. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27088-8>.
- 2. Liu WL, Liu LL, Gao JB, Wu SH, Liu YH, Evaluation of the effectiveness of irrigation methods and fertilization strategies for alfalfa: a meta-analysis. J Agron Crop Sci. 2023;209:788–801. [https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12660.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12660)
- 3. Luo D, Zhou Q, Wu YG, Chai XT, Liu WX, Wang YR, Yang QC, et al. Fulllength transcript sequencing and comparative transcriptomic analysis to evaluate the contribution of osmotic and ionic stress components towards salinity tolerance in the roots of cultivated alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L). BMC Plant Biol. 2019;19:32. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1630-4) [s12870-019-1630-4.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1630-4)
- 4. Ma QL, Xu X, Wang WJ, Zhao LJ, Ma DM, Xie YZ. Comparative analysis of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) seedling transcriptomes reveals genotype-spe‑ cifc drought tolerance mechanisms. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2021;166:203– 14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.008)
- 5. Zhang CM, Shi SL, Liu Z, Yang F, Yin GL. Drought tolerance in alfalfa (*Medicago* sativa L) varieties is associated with enhanced antioxidative protection and declined lipid peroxidation. J Plant Physiol. 2019;232:226–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.10.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.10.023)
- 6. Wang QB. China's alfalfa market and imports: development, trends, and potential impacts of the U.S.–China trade dispute and retaliations. J Integr Agric. 2020;19:1149–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(19\)62832-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62832-7)
- 7. Wang T, Zhang WH. Priorities for the development of alfalfa pasture in northern China. Fundam Res. 2023;3:225–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fmre.2022.04.017) [fmre.2022.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fmre.2022.04.017).
- 8. Schulz P, Piepenburg K, Lintermann R, Herde M, Schöttler MA, Schmidt LK, Ruf S, et al. Improving plant drought tolerance and growth under water limitation through combinatorial engineering of signalling networks. Plant Biotechnol J. 2021;19:74–86. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13441.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13441)
- 9. Luo D, Zhang X, Liu J, Wu YG, Zhou Q, Fang LF, Liu ZP. Drought-induced unknown protein1 positively modulates drought tolerance in cultivated alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L). Crop J. 2023;11:57–70. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2022.05.013) [1016/j.cj.2022.05.013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2022.05.013)
- 10. Juenger TE, Verslues P. E, Time for a drought experiment: do you know your plants' water status? Plant Cell. 2023;35:10–23. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koac324) [1093/plcell/koac324](https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koac324).
- 11. Ao B, Han YY, Wang SS, Wu F, Zhang JY. Genome-wide analysis and profle of UDP-glycosyltransferases family in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) under drought stress. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:7243. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23137243) [3137243](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23137243).
- 12. Wang TZ, Zhang D, Chen L, Wang J, Zhang WH. Genome-wide analysis of the Glutathione S-transferase family in wild *Medicago ruthenica* and drought-tolerant breeding application of MruGSTU39 gene in cultivated alfalfa. Theor Appl Genet. 2022;135:853–64. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-04002-x) [s00122-021-04002-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-04002-x)
- 13. Khan MN, Zhang J, Luo T, Liu JH, Rizwan M, Fahad S, Xu ZH, et al. Seed priming with melatonin coping drought stress in rapeseed by regulating reactive oxygen species detoxifcation: antioxidant defense system, osmotic adjustment, stomatal traits and chloroplast ultrastructure perseveration. Ind Crop Prod. 2019;140: 111597. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111597) [op.2019.111597.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111597)
- 14. Demirkol G. PopW enhances drought stress tolerance of alfalfa via activating antioxidative enzymes, endogenous hormones, drought related genes and inhibiting senescence genes. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2021;166:540–8.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.06.036>.
- 15. Malinich EA, Wang K, Mukherjee PK, Kolomiets M, Kenerley CM. Differential expression analysis of RNA reveals a dynamic transcriptome during colonization of roots. BMC Genomics. 2019;20:280. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5651-z) [1186/s12864-019-5651-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5651-z).
- 16. Kumar M, Kim I, Kim YK, Heo JB, Suh MC, Kim HU. Strigolactone signaling genes showing diferential expression patterns in *Arabidopsis* mutants. Plants-Basel. 2019;8:352. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8090352>.
- 17. Zhu JY, Xu QS, Zhao SQ, Xia XB, Yan XM, An YL, Mi XZ, et al. Comprehensive co-expression analysis provides novel insights into temporal variation of favonoids in fresh leaves of the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis*). Plant Sci. 2020;290: 110306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110306) [110306](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110306).
- 18. Wan LQ, Li Y, Li S, Li XL. Transcriptomic profling revealed genes involved in response to drought stress in alfalfa. J Plant Growth Regul. 2022;41:92–112. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10287-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10287-x)
- 19. Fang ZH, Liu JN, Wu XM, Zhang Y, Jia HL, Shi YH. Full-length transcriptome of in *Medicago sativa* L roots in response to drought stress. Front Genet. 2023;13:1086356–1086356. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1086356) [1086356](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1086356).
- 20. Yuan YY, Yu JQ, Kong LZL, Zhang WK, Hou XY, Cui GW. Genome-wide investigation of the PLD gene family in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L): iden‑ tifcation, analysis and expression. Bmc Genom. 2022;23:243. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08424-9) [org/10.1186/s12864-022-08424-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08424-9).
- 21. Nian LL, Zhang XN, Yi XF, Liu XL, et al. Genome-wide identifcation of ABA receptor PYL/RCAR gene family and their response to cold stress in *Medicago sativa* L. Physiol Mol Biol Pla. 2021;27:1979–95. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-021-01066-3) [10.1007/s12298-021-01066-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-021-01066-3).
- 22. He K, Li CX, Zhang ZY, Zhan LF, Cong CL, Zhang DP, Cai H. Genomewide investigation of the ZF-HD gene family in two varieties of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and its expression pattern under alkaline stress. BMC Genomics. 2022;23:150.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08309-x>.
- 23. Dong XM, Deng H, Ma WX, Zhou Q, Liu ZP. Genome-wide identification of the MADS-box transcription factor family in autotetraploid cultivated alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and expression analysis under abiotic stress. BMC Genomics. 2021;22:603. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07911-9) [s12864-021-07911-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07911-9).
- 24. Wu RA, Xu B, Shi FL. Leaf transcriptome analysis of *Medicago ruthenica* revealed its response and adaptive strategy to drought and drought recovery. Bmc Plant Biol. 2022;22:562. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03918-w) [s12870-022-03918-w.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03918-w)
- 25. Li S, Nie ZN, Sun J, Li XL, Yang GF. The physiological role of abscisic acid in regulating root system architecture of alfalfa in its adaptation to water defcit. Agronomy-Basel. 2022;12:1882. [https://doi.org/10.3390/agron](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12081882) [omy12081882](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12081882).
- 26. Li S, Wan LQ, Nie ZN, Li XL. Fractal and topological analyses and antioxidant defense systems of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) root system under drought and rehydration regimes. Agronomy-Basel. 2020;10:805. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10060805) [doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10060805.](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10060805)
- 27. Liu YR, Jiang DD, Yan JP, Wang KX, Lin SW, Zhang WJ. ABA-insensitivity of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) during seed germination associated with plant drought tolerance. Environ Exp Bot. 2022;203: 105069. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105069) [1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105069).
- 28. Li JY, Min XY, Luo K, Abdoulaye AH, Zhang XF, Huang W, Zhang R, et al. Molecular characterization of the GH3 family in alfalfa under abiotic stress. Gene. 2023;851: 146982. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.146982) [146982.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.146982)
- 29. Medina CA, Samac DA, Yu LX. Pan-transcriptome identifying master genes and regulation network in response to drought and salt stresses in Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L). Sci Rep-Uk. 2021;11:17203. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96712-x) [1038/s41598-021-96712-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96712-x).
- 30. Singer SD, Subedi U, Lehmann M, Hughes KB, Feyissa BA, Hannoufa A, Shan B, et al. Identification of differential drought response mechanisms in *Medicago sativa* subsp *sativa* and *falcata* through comparative assessments at the physiological, biochemical, and transcriptional Levels. Plants-Basel. 2021;10:2107.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10102107>.
- 31. Kang Y, Han YH, Torres-Jerez I, Wang MY, Tang YH, Monteros M, Udvardi M. System responses to long-term drought and re-watering of two contrasting alfalfa varieties. Plant J. 2011;68:871–89. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04738.x) [1365-313X.2011.04738.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04738.x)
- 32. Wang K, Nan LL, Xia J, Wu SW, Yang LL. Metabolomics reveal root differential metabolites of diferent root-type alfalfa under drought stress. Front Plant Sci. 2024;15:1341826. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1341826>.
- 33. Lamb J, Barnes D, Henjum K. Gain from two cycles of divergent selection for root morphology in Alfalfa. Crop Breeding Genet Cytol. 1999. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1999.0011183X003900040011x) [doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1999.0011183X003900040011x.](https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1999.0011183X003900040011x)
- 34. Perfect E, Miller R, Burton B. Root morphology and vigor efects on winter heaving of established Alfalfa. Agron J. 1987;79:1061–7. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1987.00021962007900060023x) [10.2134/agronj1987.00021962007900060023x](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1987.00021962007900060023x).
- 35. Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Calif Agric Exp Station Circ. 1950;347:357–9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)73482-9) [10.1016/S0140-6736\(00\)73482-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)73482-9).
- 36. Michel BE, Kaufmann MR. The osmotic potential of polyethylene glycol 6000. Plant Physiol. 1973;51:914–6. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.51.5.914.](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.51.5.914)
- 37. Christou A, Manganaris GA, Papadopoulos I, Fotopoulos V. Hydrogen sulfde induces systemic tolerance to salinity and non-ionic osmotic stress in strawberry plants through modifcation of reactive species biosynthesis and transcriptional regulation of multiple defence pathways. J Exp Bot. 2013;64:1953–66. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert055>.
- 38. Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil. 1973;39:205–7. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060) [BF00018060](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060).
- 39. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976;72:248–54. <https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1976.9999>.
- 40. Buysse J, Merckx R. An improved colorimetric method to quantify sugar content of plant tissue. J Exp Bot. 1993;44:1627–9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/44.10.1627) [1093/jxb/44.10.1627](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/44.10.1627).
- 41. Meloni DA, Oliva MA, Martinez CA, Cambraia J. Photosynthesis and activity of superoxide dismutase, peroxidase and glutathione reductase in cotton under salt stress. Environ Exp Bot. 2003;49:69–76. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(02)00058-8) [10.1016/S0098-8472\(02\)00058-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(02)00058-8).
- 42. Wassie M, Zhang WH, Zhang Q, Ji K, Cao LW, Chen L. Exogenous salicylic acid ameliorates heat stress-induced damages and improves growth and photosynthetic efficiency in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). Ecotox Environ Safe. 2020;191:110206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110206.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110206)
- 43. Tibebe D, Belete A, Kassa Y, Mulugeta M, Moges Z, Yenealem D, Fentie T, et al. Evaluation of total phenolic, favonoid contents, and antioxidant activities of seed extracted from coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L) and black cumin (*Nigella sativa*) spices. Food Anal Method. 2024;17:945–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-024-02622-9>.
- 44. Sepahpour S, Selamat J, Manap MYA, Khatib A, Razis AFA. Comparative analysis of chemical composition, antioxidant activity and quantitative characterization of some phenolic compounds in selected herbs and spices in diferent solvent extraction systems. Molecules. 2018;23:402–19. [https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020402.](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020402)
- 45. Zhang ZQ, Wang YF, Chang LQ, Zhang T, An J, Liu YS, Cao YM, et al. *MsZEP*, a novel zeaxanthin epoxidase gene from alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), confers drought and salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco. Plant Cell Rep. 2016;35:439–53.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-015-1895-5>.
- 46. Wang YC, Zhou WJ, Chen Y, He D, Qin Z, Wang Z, Liu S, et al. Identifcation of susceptibility modules and hub genes of osteoarthritis by WGCNA analysis. Front Genet. 2022;13:1036156. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1036156) [2022.1036156](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1036156).
- 47. Liu Y. CWGCNA: an R package to perform causal inference from the WGCNA framework. NAR Genom Bioinform. 2024;25:lqae042. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqae042) [org/10.1093/nargab/lqae042.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqae042)
- 48. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;29:559. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559) [1186/1471-2105-9-559.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559)
- 49. Raza A, Mubarik MS, Sharif R, Habib M, Jabeen W, Zhang C, Chen H, et al. Developing drought-smart, ready-to-grow future crops. Plant Genome. 2023;16: e20279. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tpg2.20279>.
- 50. Kuromori T, Fujita M, Takahashi F, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Inter-tissue and inter-organ signaling in drought stress response and phenotyping of drought tolerance. Plant J. 2022;109:342–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15619) [org/10.1111/tpj.15619](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15619).
- 51. Liu J, Deng JL, Tian Y. Transcriptome sequencing of the apricot (Prunus armeniaca L) and identifcation of diferentially expressed genes involved in drought stress. Phytochemistry. 2020;171:112226. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.112226) [1016/j.phytochem.2019.112226.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.112226)
- 52. Li HB, Gui YY, Zhu K, Wei JJ, Zhang RH, Yang RZ, Tang LQ, et al. Comparative transcriptomic analyses of two sugarcane *Saccharum* L cultivars difering in drought tolerance. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:1243664. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1243664) [doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1243664.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1243664)
- 53. Yang S, Chu N, Feng NJ, Zhou BL, Zhou HK, Deng ZH, Shen XF, et al. Global responses of autopolyploid sugarcane badila (*Saccharum ofcinarum* L) to drought stress based on comparative transcriptome and metabolome profling. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;2023(24):3856. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043856) [10.3390/ijms24043856.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24043856)
- 54. Cao XN, Hu YL, Song J, Feng H, Wang JJ, Chen L, Wang L, et al. Transcriptome sequencing and metabolome analysis reveals the molecular mechanism of drought stress in millet. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:10792. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231810792.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231810792)
- 55. Kumar S, Ayachit G, Sahoo L. Screening of mungbean for drought tolerance and transcriptome profling between drought-tolerant and susceptible genotype in response to drought stress. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2020;157:229–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.10.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.10.021)
- 56. Du YL, Zhao Q, Chen LR, Yao XD, Zhang W, Zhang B, Xie FT. Efect of drought stress on sugar metabolism in leaves and roots of soybean seedlings. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2020;146:1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.11.003) [2019.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.11.003).
- 57. Liu SC, Jin JQ, Ma JQ, Yao MZ, Ma CL, Li CF, Ding ZT, et al. Transcriptomic analysis of tea plant responding to drought stress and recovery. PLoS ONE. 2016;11: e0147306. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147306.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147306)
- 58. La VH, Tran DH, Han VC, Nguyen TD, Duong VC, Nguyen VH, Tran AT, et al. Drought stress-responsive abscisic acid and salicylic acid crosstalk with the phenylpropanoid pathway in soybean seeds. Physiol Plantarum. 2023;175: e14050. [https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.14050.](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.14050)
- 59. Ksouri N, Jiménez S, Wells CE, Contreras-Moreira B, Gogorcena Y. Transcriptional responses in root and leaf of under drought stress using RNA sequencing. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1715. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01715) [2016.01715.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01715)
- 60. Carvalho V, Gaspar M, Nievola C. Short-term drought triggers defence mechanisms faster than ABA accumulation in the epiphytic bromeliad. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2021;160:62–72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.12.030) [2020.12.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.12.030).
- 61. Feng RJ, Ren MY, Lu LF, Peng M, Guan X, Zhou DB, Zhang MY, et al. Involvement of abscisic acid-responsive element-binding factors in cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) dehydration stress response. Sci Rep-Uk. 2019;9:12661. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49083-3>.
- 62. Zhang HF, Liu DY, Yang B, Liu WZ, Mu BB, Song HX, Chen BY, et al. *Arabidopsis* CPK6 positively regulates ABA signaling and drought tolerance through phosphorylating ABA-responsive element-binding factors. J Exp Bot. 2020;71:188–203. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz432>.
- 63. Majeed Y, Zhu X, Zhang N, Ul-Ain N, Raza A, Haider FU, Si HJ. Harnessing the role of mitogen-activated protein kinases against abiotic stresses in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2023;14:932923. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.932923) [932923.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.932923)
- 64. Lin L, Wu J, Jiang MY, Wang YP. Plant mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in environmental stresses. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:1543. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041543) [doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041543.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041543)
- 65. Nutan KK, Kushwaha HR, Singla-Pareek SL, Pareek A. Transcription dynamics of QTL localized genes encoding transcription factors, reveals their diferential regulation in contrasting genotypes of rice. Funct Integr Genomic. 2017;17:69–83. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-016-0529-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-016-0529-5)
- 66. Zhang CY, Liu HC, Zhang XS, Guo QX, Bian SM, Wang JY, Zhai LL. *VcMYB4a*, an R2R3-MYB transcription factor from *Vaccinium corymbosum*, negatively regulates salt, drought, and temperature stress. Gene. 2020;757: 144935. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.144935.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.144935)
- 67. He F, Zhang LX, Zhao GQ, Kang JM, Long RC, Li MN, Yang QC, et al. Genome-wide identifcation and expression analysis of the gene family in alfalfa revealed its potential roles in response to multiple abiotic stresses. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:10015. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231710015.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231710015)
- 68. Martin RC, Kronmiller BA, Dombrowski JE. Transcriptome analysis of exposed to a combination of drought and heat stress. Plants-Basel. 2021;10:2247. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10112247>.
- 69. Hussain RMF, Kim HK, Khurshid M, Akhtar MT, Linthorst HJM. Overexpression of *AtWRKY50* is correlated with enhanced production of sinapic derivatives in *Arabidopsis*. Metabolomics. 2018;14:25. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-018-1317-0) [1007/s11306-018-1317-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-018-1317-0).
- 70. Ji CL, Mao X, Hao JY, Wang XD, Xue JN, Cui HL, Li RZ. Analysis of bZIP transcription factor family and their expressions under salt stress in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19:2800. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19092800) [3390/ijms19092800](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19092800).
- 71. Guo HY, Wang YC, Wang LQ, Hu P, Wang YM, Jia YY, Zhang CR, et al. Expression of the MYB transcription factor gene BplMYB46 afects abiotic stress tolerance and secondary cell wall deposition in *Betula platyphylla*. Plant Biotechnol J. 2017;15:107–21. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12595.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12595)
- 72. Yu Y, Song TQ, Wang YK, Zhang MF, Li N, Yu M, Zhang SX, et al. The wheat WRKY transcription factor TaWRKY1–2D confers drought resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). Int J Biol Macro‑ mol. 2023;226:1203–17.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.11.234>.
- 73. Li Q, Jiang WB, Jiang ZH, Du WX, Song JX, Qiang ZQ, Zhang B, et al. Transcriptome and functional analyses reveal *ERF053* from *Medicago falcata*

as key regulator in drought resistances. Front Plant Sci. 2022;13: 995754. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.995754>.

74. Wang FB, Zhu H, Chen DH, Li ZJ, Peng RH, Yao QH. A grape bHLH tran‑ scription factor gene, *VvbHLH*1, increases the accumulation of flavonoids and enhances salt and drought tolerance in transgeni*c Arabidopsis thalia*na. Plant Cell Tiss Org. 2016;125:387–98. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-0953-1) [s11240-016-0953-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-0953-1)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.